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ABSTRACT: In 1858, American geologist Joseph LeConte published the first scientific report of vertebrate fossils (mastodon, bison and horse) from Central America a brief record of a “mastodon bed” near the old village of Tambla in Honduras. In 1859, American archaeologist Ephraim George Squier also mentioned these fossils, illustrating a lower jaw fragment with a molar and providing specific clues to the location of the bonebed. J. M. Dow subsequently gave a gomphothere molar from the locality to Joseph Leidy at the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia, USA. Leidy published on the fossil, which still remains in the collection of the Academy, as “Mastodon ohioticus” or as M. andium, and it was later referred to Rhynchotherium by Osborn and others. This molar is best identified as Cuvieronius hyodon, and the bonebed from which it was derived is near the modern village of Humuya (Tambla in the 1800s), not near the village currently called Tambla. The Tambla “mastodon bed” has never been relocated, though data provided here should make that possible. Its discovery in the 1850s did not encourage further exploration for vertebrate fossils in Honduras, probably because “mastodon” fossils were already commonplace in the USA, so the Tambla bonebed did not constitute a remarkable discovery.
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INTRODUCTION

The fossil record of vertebrates in Central America encompasses hundreds of localities of late Cenozoic age. Most of these yield fossil mammals of Pleistocene age, and most of what we know about these fossils has been published since the 1980s (e.g., Ibarra, 1980; Webb & Perrigo, 1984; Alvarado, 1986; Laurito, 1988; Lucas et al., 1997, 2007, 2008; Cisneros, 2005, 2008; MacFadden, 2006; Lucas & Alvarado, 2010; Woodburne, 2010). These fossils are particularly significant to documenting the great American interchange—the extensive mixing of North and South American mammals that took place via Central America after the Panamanian isthmus closed during the Pliocene (Woodburne, 2010). Discoveries of fossil vertebrates (mammals) in Central America began in the 1800s and initiated our current knowledge of a diversity of Miocene-Pleistocene taxa (Alvarado, 1994). Here, we detail the first discovery of fossil mammals in Central America (cf. Alvarado, 1994, p. 82), that of gomphothere proboscidean fossils near “Tambla,” Honduras (Fig. 1).

LECONTE AT TAMBLA

LeConte (1858) presented the first published mention of a fossil vertebrate from Central America. Joseph LeConte (1823-1901) was an American geologist who visited Honduras in 1858 while he was a professor at South Carolina College in the USA. LeConte (1858) presented a brief account of proboscidean fossil he encountered in Honduras to the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia during its meeting of February 2, 1858:

Dr. LeConte said that while he was recently in Honduras, he had examined the Mastodon bed at the village of Tambla, in one of the passes leading from Comayagua to the Pacific. He was satisfied of the identity of the remains with M. giganteus. He had found there a molar of Bos and two or three of Equus (LeConte, 1858, p. 7).

Significantly, LeConte refers to “the Mastodon bed,” as if it were an already known location, and mentions finding “Bos” (if fossil, should be Bison) and horse (Equus) at the bed. We know of no fossils in collections (for example, the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia) that voucher LeConte’s identifications, but if correct they suggest a late Pleistocene (Rancholabrean) age for the bone bed near Tambla.

SQUIER AT TAMBLA

Ephraim George Squier (1821-1888) was an American journalist and diplomat (Fig. 2). As an amateur archaeologist, he began in the 1840s to collaborate with another amateur, Edwin Davis (1811-1887) on the study of Native
American mound builders in the Mississippi Valley, especially in Ohio, USA. The book on the mound builders published by Squier and Davis in 1848 established Squier as an important American archaeologist. To both support himself financially (publication of the book with Davis left Squier destitute) and to continue his pursuit of archaeology, Squier sought a diplomatic post in Central America, in order to study the rich archaeology of that region. In April 1849, helped by the influence of powerful friends in Washington, Squier was appointed “Charge d’Affaires of the United States to the Republics of Central America” and assumed that post in Nicaragua, holding it for about one year. After his diplomatic mission ended, Squier remained in Central America and became the secretary of the Honduras Interoceanic Railway Company. He subsequently published important contributions to knowledge of the archaeology, ethnography and geography of Central America, especially Honduras, El Salvador and Nicaragua (Barnhart, 2005).

Squier wrote at least three unsigned articles on Central America for Harper’s New Monthly Magazine, including “San Juan de Nicaragua” (1854), “A Visit to the Guajiquero Indians” (1859), and “The Volcanoes of Central America” (1859). His only signed article on Central America was “Nicaragua: An Exploration from
Joseph Leidy (1823-1891) (Fig. 4) is considered the father of American vertebrate paleontology and was a professor at the University of Pennsylvania and a Curator at the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia (Warren, 1998). Because of his expertise in vertebrate anatomy and paleontology, fossils from many locations were sent to Leidy for study. Thus, Leidy (1859, p. 91) announced receiving a single molar of a gomphothere proboscidean from Tambla at the 22 March 1859 meeting of the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia, stating that “Dr. Leidy called the attention of the members to the tooth of the Mastodon, from Tambla, Honduras, presented by Capt. J. M. Dow, this evening.” This tooth, which we term the “Tambla molar,” is the only fossil from the Tambla fossil locality now extant in a collection—it is catalogued in the collection of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia (see below). Leidy’s (1859, p. 91) report went on to conclude that the Tambla molar “probably belongs to the species ‘Mastodon ohioticus.’”

John Melmouth Dow (1827-1892) was an American shipmaster, shipping agent and naturalist who first went to the Central American coast in 1851 and worked there in association with the Panama Railroad Company (Udovic et al., 1974).

Ocean to Ocean” (1855). Barnhart (2005) attributes the article on the Guajiquero Indians of Honduras to Squier, and, in it, Squier, (1859, p. 610) referred to the “mastodon” bones near Tambla and provided the first illustration of a fossil from the locality (Fig 3):

The huesos grandes, or big-bones, of which we had heard, occur about a league from Tambla, in a sandstone formation, and consist of a large deposit of the fossilized bones of the mastodon. They are not simply the remains of a single skeleton, but of several, and are well worthy of the study of naturalists. We carried away a single tooth as a trophy, regretting only that our limited means of transport did not enable us to contribute more largely to the collections illustrative of natural history of which our country may so justly boast.

Barnhart (2005) places Squier studying the Guajiquero Indians near Tambla in June of 1853, which became the basis of his 1859 article. It thus seems certain that Squier knew about the fossils near Tambla before LeConte, though his published report appeared later.
He remained involved in the coastal trade of Central and South America until 1876 and also worked for the Panama Railroad Company. Dow’s activities as a naturalist focused on collecting extant fishes, and catalogues and information about his collections were published by various authorities. Dow was also a correspondent of the Academy of Natural Sciences in Philadelphia. How Dow acquired the Tambla molar is unknown; perhaps it was the “single tooth as a trophy” that Squier (1859, p. 610) says he collected, but we know of no way to confirm this possibility. Dow’s association with the Academy of Natural Sciences and with Leidy no doubt led him to donate the molar to the Academy.

In his classic monograph on the fossil mammals of the Dakota and Nebraska territories, Leidy (1869, p. 242-243, pl. 27, fig. 14) described and illustrated the proboscidean molar from Tambla (Fig. 5A). Leidy (1869, p. 240) stated that “perhaps the Mastodon andium is indicated by a molar tooth, obtained at Tambla, Honduras, by Capt. J. M. Dow, and presented by him to the Academy.” Leidy (1869, p. 242-243) based this tentative identification on the similarity of the Tambla molar to a molar of M. andium from Tarija, Bolivia described and illustrated by Gervais (1855, p. 20, pl. 5, fig. 3). In current taxonomy, the gomphothere from Tarija would be identified as Cuvieronius hyodon (Lucas, 2008a, b; Ferretti, 2008).

**THE TAMBLA MOLAR AS RHYNCHOTHERIUM**

In describing proboscidean fossils from the Valley of Mexico, Edward Drinker Cope (1884, p. 7) referred to the Tambla molar, allying it to the taxon he called “Dibelodon shepardi,” a species later referred to Rhynchotherium by various authors, but now regarded as a nomen dubium based on undiagnostic type material (Lucas & Morgan, 2008). Indeed, in his comprehensive monograph on the Proboscidea, Henry Fairfield Osborn (1936, p. 482) referred to the Tambla molar illustrated by Leidy (1869) as “certainly a member of the Rhynchorostrinae and allied to Rhynchotherium browni of Sonora.” Osborn (1936, fig. 454C) illustrated the molar as Rhynchotherium (Fig. 5B) and also stated (p. 535) that it is “more primitive than Rhynchotherium falconeri…it resembles R. browni of Sonora, Mexico.” Laurito & Valerio (2005) also mentioned the Tambla molar as Rhynchotherium.

**IDENTIFICATION OF THE TAMBLA MOLAR**

The Tambla molar (Fig. 6), catalogued as ANSP (Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia) 13349, is a left lower third molar (m3) that has a maximum crown length of 152 mm and a maximum crown width of 79.5 mm. It has four lophids, single trefoils, a relatively low crown and ptychodont enamel. The tooth is moderately...
worn, so that dentine is exposed across the first three lophids, and it has a distinct labial cingulid.

The “mastodon” fossils from Tambla have been assigned a variety of taxonomic names: *Mastodon giganteus* by Le Conte (1858), *Mastodon ohioticus* by Leidy (1859), *Mastodon (= Haplomastodon) andium* by Leidy (1869), *Dibelodon shepardi* by Cope (1884), *Rhynchotherium* by Osborn (1936) and Laurito & Valerio (2005), and *Cuvieronius hyodon* by Lucas et al. (2007). The relatively bunodont crown with trefoils excludes identification as “Mastodon” (= *Mammut* in North American usage), and the isolated tooth cannot be assigned with certainty to either *Haplomastodon, Rhynchotherium* or *Cuvieronius* (cf. Lucas, 2008a; Lucas & Morgan, 2008). Given that *Haplomastodon* and *Rhynchotherium* are not otherwise known from Central America (Lucas & Alvarado, 2010), and if we accept in the probable determination of *Bison* (see previously comment based on LeConte, 1858), indicating a Pleistocene age (in apparent agreement with the regional geology briefly describe below), it seems most parsimonious to assign the Tambla molar to *Cuvieronius*, which is the most common Pleistocene gomphothere known from Central America (Fig. 7).

**LOCATION OF THE TAMBLA GOMPHOTHERE BONEBED**

On current maps of Honduras, Tambla is a town located in the Department of Lempira in western Honduras (14°12’N, 88°43’W). Indeed, University of Florida paleontologist David Webb attempted to locate the Tambla fossil locality near that village, stating that in 1969 he “visited that remote village in the hope of finding further fossils, but no leads
nor even promising sediments within the ignimbrite mass were discovered. Presumably the ‘Tambla Mastodon’ came from a limited Pleistocene deposit in that area” (Webb & Perrigo, 1984, p. 238).

The actual location of the Tambla being referred to by LeConte, Dow, Leidy and Squier in the 1850s is much different. It is in the Comayagua Valley, and is modern Humuya, a village on the lower Río Cururú in the department of Comayagua, 120 km east of Tambla, Lempira (Fig. 1). Squier’s map of his expedition reveals that (Fig. 8). In fact, the village of Tambla changed its name to the municipality of Humuya (also known as Humaya) after January 26, 1897, while contemporaneously, the former village of Tomalá in Lempira changed its name to the municipality of Tambla on September 11, 1896. The Río Chiquinguara is now the Río Humuya. Thus, it is easy to understand the confusion and mistake of Webb & Perrigo (1984) as to the location of the fossil site.

Squier’s (1859) account of the bonebed can also be used to determine its more precise location. Thus, on the first day of his visit to Guajiquiro (in the present-day department of La Paz), Squier visited the ruins of Yarumela and ended at the town of La Paz (also called Las Piedras), which is on the west side of the Comayagua Valley. The following morning, he and his companions were on horseback at dawn and arrived at the fossil locality by breakfast, thus probably riding for no more than three hours. After that, they continued to Guajiquiro, though it is not clear if the bone locality was off the main trail from La Paz to Guajiquiro. Squier (1859, p. 610-611) describes the trip after the bonebed as “a simple scramble up the mountains” and notes that “the temperature became sensibly cooler.” We take

Fig. 6: The Tambla “mastodon” molar, ANSP 13349, here identified as a left m3 of Cuvieronius hyodon, in labial (A), lingual (B) and occlusal (C) views. Scale bar is 5 cm long.
this as a strong clue that the bonebed itself is below 1000 meters in elevation.

Squier (1859) mentions the plain near the town of Tambla; clearly, the plain is the Comayagua Valley, so it seems likely that the bonebed was located on the edge of the plain, most likely on an alluvial fan at the base of a ridge. Pleistocene fossil bone localities are common in the Valle de Agalta in the department of Olancho (northeastern Honduras) in these types of areas, where streams have dissected the alluvium. Squier’s (1859) statement that the bones were a league from Tambla seems misleading. Most likely, somebody in Tambla told him they were “una legua” and he wrote that down. This measurement can vary by several km. LeConte’s (1858) reference to the bonebed being “in one of the passes leading from the plain of Comayagua to the Pacific,” suggests that this is the “Pass of Guajoca,” an extremely low pass on the way to the coast (Fig. 1). This indicates that the bonebed is southwest of modern Humuya, north of the Rio Moloa and south of the Rio Humuya (Fig. 1). The sediments in this area are fluvial deposits (channel and overbank fines) mixed with a significant amount of ashfall tuffs. These fluvial strata, presumably of Quaternary age, overlie with angular unconformity the main beds of the mid-Miocene ignimbrite flare-up. Cursory exploration by one of us (RR) of this area, and inquiries of local residents, however, have so far not turned up a fossil bonebed.

CONCLUSION

What remains from this historical review of the discovery of gomphothere fossils near Tambla in the 1850s is a mystery---where is the “mastodon bed” (LeConte, 1858, p. 7) near the village of Tambla? In the 1850s at least three naturalists who visited Tambla were aware of an assemblage of proboscidean and other fossils located near the village. Rediscovery of this important fossil deposit should be pursued.

Perhaps a smaller mystery is why has there been no attempt to relocate the Tambla bonebed other than the misguided effort of Webb in 1969. In other words, why didn’t the discovery of vertebrate fossils in Honduras during the 1850s lead to further exploration, or even a “bone rush,” much as happened in the American West at about the same time? We think the answer to this question lies partly in the remote and challenging terrain that Central America posed to the fossil hunters of the late 1800s. In addition, by the 1850s fossils of “mastodon” were common in the USA, having been some of the first fossils recognized in North America (e.g., Thomson, 2008). The discovery of “mastodon” fossils in Honduras during the 1850s was thus merely another record of what were by then known to be common fossils, not an exciting discovery that drove further exploration.
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